

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,898	08/11/2004	Jennifer Fernandez	10939.3801	4897
22235	7590 12/21/2	005	EXAMINER	
MALIN HALEY AND DIMAGGIO, PA 1936 S ANDREWS AVENUE			MITCHELL, TEENA KAY	
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33316		16	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, 0111 -110	, · · · ·		3743	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Talh			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/710,898	FERNANDEZ, JEI	NNIFER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Teena Mitchell	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	July 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5 is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9</u> is/are rejected.						
•	, —					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form Pi	10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
_ , , ,						
_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	t received				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	r received.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	- C	Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group III in the reply filed on 7/12/05 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 2, "... said encasement..." lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before

November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 3743

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Balogh, II (6,907,877).

Page 3

Balogh in an inhaler discloses: an inhaler body (14) that includes a cylindrical passage and an outlet passage for dispensing medication (Figs. 1-11); a medication container (16) that include a nozzle and spray outlet mountable within said inhaler body; a connector permanently attached to said inhaler body (136); and a spring loaded clip with jaws (140) connected to said connector whereby said inhaler body can be attached by the spring loaded clip to a garment securely.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balogh, II (6,907,877) in view of Buettell (2003/0037416).

With respect to claim 7, Balogh discloses a strap (24) that is flexible however he does not disclose a spring-loaded clip connected to said flexible strap.

Buettell in a lanyard teaches a spring-loaded clip (20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

substitute the clip of Balogh with any well known lanyard with clip including the lanyard with spring loaded clip taught by Buettell. It would have been an obvious matter of design consideration to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a spring loaded clip because applicant has not disclosed that a spring loaded clip provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with any clip means, as such clips as alligator and or D shaped clips, are well known in the art for attaching purposes of one item to another. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design consideration to modify Balogh to obtain the invention as specified in claim 7.

With respect to claim 8, note rejection of claim 7 above.

With respect to claim 9, Buettell teaches an alligator clip (20).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The balance of art is cited to show clip devices: 2005/0066973; 5,860,417; 5,669,119; 5,092,018; 5,641,103.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teena Mitchell whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/710,898 Page 5

Art Unit: 3743

4798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday however the examiner is on a flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on (571) 272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Teena Mitchell Primary Examiner Art Unit 3743

TKM